## Economics of Cloud Computing

Ronnie Brunner, Jason Brazile Netcetera 420





## Main points to take away

> It can be win – win

You can make money in the Cloud by letting somebody save money in the Cloud and that's not a contradiction

> You need (and want) to consider the big picture

The Cloud is not just about the CPU price per hour, but it's about preparing your IT to be as flexible as possible, whether you're a user or a provider

> The basic mechanics are easy to understand You don't need a degree in finance to take the first two points home



Microsoft<sup>®</sup>

ORACI



## **Economics Primer**

Relax, *we won't go there* **J**, but... some terms must be known

- Marginal cost and value Think: peanuts for an additional passenger on a plane vs. the price he pays for the ticket
- > Opportunity cost

Think: pleasure gained when going to the movies vs. studying (and getting good grades and for these being admitted to college)

#### > Time value of money

Think: 100 bucks is the same as 105 bucks in a year if you get 5% interest, but what's 10\$ in 2 hours if you're hungry now?



**Microsoft**<sup>®</sup>



ORACLE



## **Cloud Computing in a Nutshell**

Cloud Computing supports those who wish to "try first, justify second"

- James Staten, Forrester

**Cloud Computing is** 

- > Self-service
- > On-demand
- > Pay-as-you-go \*

MODERN ART OF SOFTWARE, 21-23 JUNE 2011, ZURICH



Judith Hurwitz et. al., Cloud Computing for Dummies, Wiley Publishing, Inc. 2010

Microsoft<sup>®</sup>

ORACLE

Cloud Computing allows transforming CapEx to OpEx

- William Fellows, 451 Group

υετςετετα

## 6 of 10 Laws of Cloudonomics (by J. Weinmann)

User arguments for the Cloud:

- > Utility services cost less even though they cost more If it's pay as you go it costs more when you go, but nothing when you don't
- On-demand trumps forecasting As soon as your forecast is wrong, you'll realize this is true

Provider arguments for the Cloud:

- The peak of the sum is never greater than the sum of the peaks What? Demand peaks don't all occur at the same time for all users
- > Aggregate demand is smoother than individual Utilization of resources for many users is better than for an individual user
- > Average unit costs are reduced by distributing fixed costs over more units Driving a car for two instead of one person reduces the cost for the first by 50% True for both:

петсетега

> Don't put all your eggs in one basket Redundancy increases reliability



Microsoft ORACLE



## **The Cloud Computing Service Models**





### **Market Status**

- > IaaS: Convergence only on basics (compute, storage)
- > PaaS: Domain coverage poor (limited to web, map/reduce)
- > SaaS: Very diverse, some already established, and "we've only seen the beginning"

#### Moving target:

- > Consolidation expected
- New players expected
- > Platforms winning importance
- Total market will grow from ~ \$40 billion in 2011 to >\$240 billion in 2020 Forrester, "Sizing The Cloud", April 2011



Estimated Amazon VMs in US east cost data centers Source: Cloudkick

**Microsoft**<sup>®</sup>

ORACI



## **IaaS Provider Market Study**

Guy Rosen, http://www.jackofallclouds.com/

Microsoft ORACLE



largest, but others are closing the gap



## **Cloud Economics**

#### Basic principles:

- > Economies of scale
- > Multi-tenancy
- > Higher price, for a shorter time
- > Utilization/burstiness à key factors
- > Characteristics:
  - Self-service
  - On-demand
  - Pay-as-you-go
- > Elastic capacity
- > CapEx à OpEx

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON THE

MODERN ART OF SOFTWARE, 21-23 JUNE 2011, ZURICH







## **Economies of Scale**

 It's more than a quantity discount (BTW: there is an upper limit)



Backblaze blog, "Petabytes on a budget"



**Microsoft** 

ORACLE



## **On-demand, Self-service, Pay-as-you-go**

#### User (customer)

- > On-demand
  - Low contract periods
  - Now, not later
  - No idling capacity
  - Only use what's really needed
- > Self-service
  - No need to wait for service
  - A must for on-demand
- > Pay-as-you-go
  - No upfront investment
  - Pay only for what was/is actually used



#### Provider

- > Multi-tenancy
  - Allows self-service, on-demand
  - "Zero" marginal cost for a new customer
- > Provision for peak demand
  - Needs some idle capacity
- > Elastic capacity
  - Has more than any individual customer needs

**Microsoft**<sup>®</sup>

ORACLE



## **Capacity provisioning**

- > User has need for capacity
  - Underprovisioning (1)
  - Provisionsing for peak (2)
- Cloud has elastic capacity (3) (i.e. way more than what the user needs)
- User should get exactly the capacity from the Cloud that is actually needed (3a)



**Microsoft**<sup>®</sup>

ORACLE

Why does this work for the provider?

Varying demand is statistically smoothed out over (very) many users



υοτςοτοτο

## **Basic value proposition example**

#### User

- > A dedicated DC would cost 200'000€
- > Utilization would be max 50% (no need for the resources at night)

#### Provider

- The provider buys the equivalent of the DC for 150'000€ (quantity discount)
- The provider can provide this service for 100'000€ (smoothed out demand, better utilization than 50%)

#### Here's the deal

- You pay a premium price to be able to use it on-demand and pay-as-you-go: 150'000€ for the 50% you need it (during the day only)
- > You save 50'000€, the provider makes 50'000€: *a win-win scenario*



Microsoft ORACLE



## **Sample Cases: Calculating pricing**

The shorter the usage, the cheaper the cloud

	A B	С	D	E	usage, the cheaper
1	Cost Overview				the cloud
2	] [	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Scenario 4
3		1 week run	2 week run	4 week run	8 week run
4					
5	Cost with Cloud Service	1,557,578.23	1,592,351.59	1,661,928.45	1,801,052.03
6	External Cost	645,301.51	680,074.87	749,651.73	888,775.31
-7	Internal Cost	912,276.72	912,276.72	912,276.72	912,276.72
8					
9	Cost without Cloud Service	7,114,942.72	4,432,192.72	2,757,442.72	1,630,567.72
10	External Cost	6,916.00	6,916.00	6,916.00	6,916.00
11	Internal Cost	7,108,026.72	4,425,276.72	2,750,526.72	1,623,651.72
12					
13	Cost savings with Cloud Service	5,557,364.49	2,839,841.13	1,095,514.27	-170,484.31
14	Percentage	78.11%	64.07%	39.73%	-10.46%
15			FC2 c	ost (\$) vs utiliza	tion (%) @1TB/month
16	Configuration		\$80K		
17	Date range (years)	From	1	At 25% utiliza	ation.
18	NPV as of year			abaanar ta ra	
19	Currencies	1.00	СН	cheaper to re	Serve = 10 on-demand
20		1.00	EU \$10K	/	— 10 3v reservation
21	at 3%	utilization à	US \$40K		100 on domand
22	Discount rate (for NP)	100s CPI ls	1		
					<ul> <li>100 3y reservation</li> </ul>
	ar	e "cheap" <sub>I</sub>			
			15 2	6 12 24 4	8 96
			1.5 5	0 12 24 40	5 90



ηθτοετεία



### Cloud SLAs as good as average data centers

Who	Promised uptime	Achieved Uptime
Data Center (avg. over 300)	n/a	99.5% (~50min/week)
Top 15% Virtual Systems Management Enterprises	n/a	<b>99.999%</b> (~5min/year)
Amazon EC2	99.95% (~5min/week)	unknown
Google Apps Premier Edition	99.9% (~10min/week)	99.85% (~15min/week)
Microsoft Business Productivity Online Suite	99.9% (~10min/week)	unknown

Data Source: Andi Mann, Enterprise Management Associates



υστςστετα

Microsoft ORACLE

## Net neutrality and the power of big

#### Google/YouTube

- > 2009 CS: "YouTube \$470M loss" but...
- > Didn't account for **73% peering**
- > Cheap hosting e.g. Iowa & Finland

And in reality...

- > Gain: "YouTube **appears** as loss"
- "YouTube key for reducing general Google operational costs"

Gannes, YouTube Infrastructure Costs Vastly Overestimated, GigaOM, 2009

> "Caught up to e.g. Microsoft"

#### Netflix/Level 3 vs Comcast

> 2010 Level 3: "Comcast unilaterally set price for what used to be free"

but...

> Comcast: "fees for 5:1 imbalance"

And in reality...

- > Level 3/Comcast used to **peer free**
- > L3: new Netflix "big data" customer
- > Comcast: many "last mile" users

Net Neutrality?

Miller, Level 3 vs Comcast: More than a peering spat?, 2010





## Netflix in Cloud is U.S.'s largest traffic source

#### Netflix Turns From Oracle, IBM to Amazon to Save Cash

By Chris Kanaracus, IDG News Nov 24, 2010 8:00 pm

Netflix moved some of its most crucial IT operations over to Amazon Web Services' Elastic Compute Cloud in order to save money and gain flexibility compared to using more Oracle software and IBM iron.

"Our datacenter runs Oracle on IBM hardware, we could have switched to commodity hardware in a data center, but skipped that step by going to AWS," Netflix cloud architect Adrian Cockcroft told the consulting firm Cloudscaling in an interview posted Tuesday. "There are three points on cost, one is that Oracle on IBM is very expensive, so AWS looks cheap in comparison, and we have flat-lined our datacenter capacity."

In addition, Netflix "could not have hired enough [system and database administrators] to build out or own data center this fast. We have added 4-5x as many systems in the cloud as the total we have in our data center over the last year," he said

Finally, EC2's pay-as-you-go model means costs are elastic. "If you own a resource it sits around a long time waiting to be delivered and installed, and if you no longer want to use that type of resource you are still paying for it for three years."

Cockcroft's remarks add some color to Netflix's announcement in May that it would significantly expan its use of AWS. The company had already been using the service for various customer-facing and internal applications, but decided to add "critical pieces" of its service, including member movie lists, recommendation engine and film transcoding.

The move enabled Netflix to free up "scarce engineering resources from the undifferentiated heavy lifting of running its own infrastructure." it said at the time

Netflix's skyrocketing customer count, which now stands at about 16 million, also made the job of running and expanding data centers too unpredictable, according to a presentation Cockcroft gave the recent QCon conference. In addition, the company has been rapidly transitioning from a DVDdelivery outfit to a mainly streaming operation.

For actually streaming the movies to customers, Netflix contracts with companies like Akamai and Limelight, Cockcroft told Cloudscaling. It also runs systems for account sign-up, billing and other needs elsewhere, he added

	upstreat		Downstie	am	ABBI CBa	ue
Rank	Application	Share	Application	Share	Application	Share
1	BitTorrent	52.01%	Netflix	29.70%	Netflix	24.71%
2	HTTP	8.31%	HTTP	18.36%	BitTorrent	17.23%
3	Skype	3.81%	YouTube	11.04%	HTTP	17.18%
4	Netflix	3.59%	BitTorrent	10.37%	YouTube	9.85%
5	PPStream	2.92%	Flash Video	4.88%	Flash Video	3.62%
6	MGCP	2.89%	iTunes	3.25%	iTunes	3.01%
7	RTP	2.85%	RTMP	2.92%	RTMP	2.46%
8	SSL	2.75%	Facebook	1.91%	Facebook	1.86%
9	Gnutella	2.12%	SSL	1.43%	SSL	1.68%
10	Facebook	2.00%	Hulu	1.09%	Skype	1.29%
	Top 10	83.25%	Top 10	84.95%	Top 10	82.89%

Table 1 · North America, Fixed Access, Peak Period, Top Applications by Bytes

Schonfeld, TechCrunch, Netflix now largest single source of internet traffic in N. America, 17 May 2011

Microsoft ORACLE



netcetera

## **Cloud consumer risks and their consequences**

Risk	Examples	Result
Individual "contracts" via credit card	Critical service is down because key person's individual credit card expires	Service failure, data mess (where's what?)
Single actor can chose wrong direction quickly	Introduction of a proprietary SaaS solution that (only) provides a quick fix	Unmanaged service portfolio, not reaching strategic goals
Costs can't be tracked well	Monthly bills unpredictable due to irregular demand. Lots of hard to track small transactions with many providers	Financial exposure and uncertainty
Costs slowly increase	Nobody cleans up hard disks or gets rid of unused virtual machines	More expensive over time, unclear what's still needed
Optimism bias	Assumptions that all costs will go down (and all performance up) just from moving to the cloud	Situation worse and/or more expensive and no plan B
Data gets leaked	Data protection violation, leak of industry partner's secrets	Financial liability, loss of trust
Lock-in dependency	Usage too specifically designed for a specific provider or lack of alternative service	Exposure to outage, higher switching cost
Data loss	NASA's moon landing tapes, hacker data vandalism, Provider default	Image/brand damage



υστςστετα

## **Cloud provider risks and their consequences**

Risk	Examples	Result
Commoditization of everything	20+ providers of cloud compute nodes and storage services	Race to the bottom - mainly about price
Visible customer gets burned	April 2011: Reddit, Foursquare, Quorum suffered from AWS EBS failure	Financial liability, loss of trust
Infrastructure gets hacked	Dec 2010: Microsoft BPS Cloud Service Data Breach	Image/brand damage, loss of trust
Can't deliver on uptime	http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rackspace#Downtime	Lose regular customers and ability to keep tenancy high
Can't maintain low marginal costs	Too much per-customer customization prevents streamlined provisioning/operations	Limited niche market
Legislation threatens business model	Google in China	Change product (e.g. allow censorship) or abandon market
Can't grow customer base	Microsoft Azure <sup>1 2</sup>	Loss of momentum

<sup>1</sup> Gray, Microsoft seeks to stem Azure exodus with huge appliance, Informed Virtualization Criticism, 2010

<sup>2</sup> <u>http://jpf.github.com/domain-profiler/ycombinator.html</u>



петсетега

Microsoft ORACLE

## Six Cloud Scenarios - (1/3)

Scenario: Offline compute, <80GiB/day Examples: Scientific computing, Data mining, Audio recoding, etc

- > Turnaround time deadlines à flexible
- > No storage other than "local" disk
- > 10 Mbps @80% à 80GiB ~23 hours<sup>1</sup>
- Vendor price/performance changes often – helps to constantly measure

#### Costs:

- > Cloud deployment: low/med
- > Disaster recovery cost: **very low**
- > Brand/Security risk: **very low**

Scenario: Data in cloud >80GiB/day Examples: High volume website, Disaster recovery backup, etc

- Storage/network transfer dominate
- > Block vs service e.g. EBS vs S3
- > reduced redundancy à cheaper ?
- > RAIN (redund. array inexp. nodes) ?
- > CDN à faster net, wider dist. ?
- > media shipping for import/export ? Costs:
- > Cloud deployment: **low/med**
- > Disaster recovery cost: **med/high**
- > Brand/Security risk: med (encryption)

<sup>1</sup> <u>http://www.wolframalpha.com/input/?i=80GiB+at+(0.8+</u>\*+10)+Mbps



## Six Cloud Scenarios (2/3)

## Scenario: Scalable large content with critical latency

Examples: (Spiky) media distribution

- > Cost is non-goal (can't DIY)
- Likely case for a CDN (Global reach, Avoid congestion, Great for media streaming)
- Safe to assume that costs decrease

#### Costs:

- > Cloud deployment: med
- > Disaster recovery cost: low
- > Brand/Security risk: med

Scenario: Freemium SaaS model Examples: Xing, Basecamp, ZoHo...

- Free vs. Premium (Feature limited, Time limited, Capacity limited, Seat limited, Customer class limited)
- If lifetime value of a customer acquisition cost > cost to operate the service (incl. the free users)

Costs:

- > Cloud deployment: med/high
- > Disaster recovery cost: **med**
- > Brand/Security risk: med/high

**Microsoft**<sup>®</sup>



## Six Cloud Scenarios (3/3)

#### Scenario: Website with little computation, little data Examples: SME web site or small shop

- > laaS à very cheap (first glance)
- > PaaS à very easy

#### Costs:

- > Automation (Platform or DIY on laaS)
- > No worries about scale (Platform)
- > Cloud deployment: low
- > Disaster recovery cost: med/large
- > Brand/Security risk: med

#### Scenario: SaaS calculation example Examples: Large corp. introducing SaaS

- > "[...] cut travel costs by at least 5%,
   [...] bill for travel over €40M/a"
- Shared desktop and virtual conference software pilot
- > Assume 1K€/d and 3 travelers / event
- Costs offset if 500 use it instead of travel once per year

Costs:

- > Some One Time Cost (OTC)
- > Monthly Recurring Cost (MRC)



## **Consequences of shifting operations to the cloud**

#### **Implications for IT operations**

- > Developers responsible for ops
- > Master data (and backups) in cloud
- > IT dept is Amazon/Rackspace/Linode
- > Cloud capacity >= datacenter
- > No staff doing platform infrastructure

#### Many traditional IT roles go away

- > No System Administrators
- > No Database Administrators
- > No Storage Administrators
- No Network Administrators

#### Capacity planning (old thinking)

- > Capacity à expensive
- > Capacity à takes time to buy/provision
- > Capacity à increases not shrinks
- > Capacity à big chunks, \$ up front
- > Planning errors à big problems
- > Systems are clearly assets
- > Depreciate assets over 3 years

#### Implications for application design

- Although uptimes are good in aggregate, *must* design for failure
- Cloud persistence services have widely varying latency

**Microsoft**<sup>®</sup>

ORACL

http://www.slideshare.net/adrianco/netflix-in-the-cloud-2011



## Main points to take away

- It can be win win Paying a premium price for less time results in savings allowing the provider to get the premium
- You need (and want) to consider the big picture The Cloud helps not only create cheaper, but also better (more reliable) services. All things considered, you'd want to go Cloud even if it was more expensive, just because it's better
- > The basic mechanics are easy to understand You don't need a degree in finance to take the first two points home unless we didn't do our job today











# Ronnie Brunnerronnie.brunner@netcetera.chNetcetera<a href="http://netcetera.com">http://netcetera.com</a>



Jason Brazile Netcetera jason.brazile@netcetera.ch http://netcetera.com



